/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,877	PINCU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven R. Garland	2125
All Participants:	ipants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) Steven R. Garland.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Kahn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time: <u>8:15</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1,4,10-12,14,19		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Slow a Harls		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: proposed changes to claims 1,4,10-12,14, and 19 were discussed. It was agreed to incorporate the proposed changes into an examiner's amendment to place the application in better form for allowance. The requirement for a new declaration was also discussed..